



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,675	06/21/2006	Bandi Parthasaradhi Reddy	H1089/20035	9298
3000	7590	09/14/2011	EXAMINER	
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212				SACKLEY, EBENEZER O
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1624			NOTIFICATION DATE	
09/14/2011			DELIVERY MODE	
ELECTRONIC				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcpc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/596,675	Applicant(s) PARTHASARADHI REDDY ET AL.
	Examiner EBENEZER O. SACKY	Art Unit 1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EBENEZER O. SACKY. (3) ____.

(2) JOSEPH F. MURPHY. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: /.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant's attorney, Joseph Murphy, confirmed in a telephonic conversation on 08/30/11 that a response to the office action mailed on 07/09/10 had not been filed..

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/EBENEZER O SACKY/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

/J. O. W./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624